



# **Pion production in 30 GeV p+C First results from NA61/SHINE**

Sebastien Murphy-University of Geneva For the NA61/SHINE collaboration

One of the Goals of the NA61/SHINE (SHINE SPS Heavy Ion and Neutrino Experiment) experiment is to provide hadron production reference measurements for the T2K neutrino oscillation experiment. Shown here are results of pion cross sections from pilot data collected in October 2007.

http://na61.web.cern.ch

1







•next generation long-baseline (295 km) neutrino oscillation experiment

•intense  $V_{\mu}$  beam generated by the new JPARC facility in Tokai.

•first off axis neutrino beam:

-very narrow neutrino energy spectrum with small high energy tails. -beam energy tuned to neutrino oscillation maximum.

•2 near detectors one on axis (MUon MONitor) and one off axis at 280 m from the target (ND280).

•Running since January 2010, beam: 50 kW stable operation with trials at 100 kW.

•Goals:

 $v_{\mu}$ ->  $v_x$  for precision results on atmospheric parameters.

 $\nu_{\mu}$ ->  $\nu_{e}$  for  $\Theta_{13}$  search.



Off-axis ∨ beam, peak energy at oscillation maximum ~650 MeV

# **T2K needs for hadron production measurements**





•The expected flux at SK is:  $\Phi_{SK}^{exp} = R_{F/N} \times \Phi_{ND}^{obs}$  Far to near Ratio (MC):  $R_{F/N} = \Phi_{SK} / \Phi_{ND}$ 

- The neutrino spectrum at far detector different from the one at near site even without oscillation (effect of non-point like source). =>complicated F/N ratio.

-To predict the V flux ratio correctly we need to know the details of the V parent hadro-production kinematics. -No measurement of particle production off carbon with 30 GeV protons over required phase space Difficult to evaluate the validity of existing MC models =>NA61/SHINE

•To achieve the T2K physics goals need to

Predict Far / Near neutrino flux ratio to 3% Predict the absolute neutrino flux to 5%

That implies that in NA61 we have to measure  $\pi$  hadro-production cross sections to 5 %





# **SPS Heavy Ion and Neutrino Experiment**







# A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A CONTRACTOR

### •High quality of reconstruction:



 $\pi\text{-+C}$  @ 350 GeV/c



•p+C @ 30 GeV/c



### •T2K phase-space entirely covered:



#### $\pi$ + and K+ producing neutrinos at @ SK





# NA61 data taking for T2K



## •2007 pilot run:

thin target~ 660 k triggersreplica target~ 230 k triggers

## •2009 run:

thin target ~ 6 M triggers  $\Rightarrow$  300 k  $\pi^+$  in T2K phase space

replica target ~ 2 M triggers

# •2010 run:

complete measurements with replica target

~ 10 M triggers (~ 1 M additional triggers taken with max B in the forward region to predict  $\mu$  flux in on-axis  $\mu$  monitors)

## Both targets required to understand pC interactions and model reliably of the neutrino flux.

# 2 different graphite (carbon) targets



Thin Carbon Target - length = 2 cm, 2.5x 2.5 cm<sup>2</sup> -  $\rho$  = 1.84 g/cm<sup>3</sup> - ~0.04  $\lambda_{int}$ 



T2K replica Target - length = 90 cm, Ø=2.6 cm - ρ = 1.83 g/cm<sup>3</sup> - ~1.9 λ<sub>int</sub>

# **Particle identification Methods**



## •dE/dx only PID in the relativistic rise region ( $p \sim above 4 \text{ Gev/c}$ )



superposition of 3 gaussians
maximum likelihood fit
relative peak positions constrained
by the expected Bethe-Bloch values

•assume same width for  $\pi$ , K, and p

•(5 free parameters instead of 9)



very high purity pion yieldsBi-dimensional max-likelihood fits to extract the yields





# •π+ and π- large acceptance •PID based only on dE/dx. •Global MC correction (takes into accourt

•Global MC correction (takes into account reconstruction efficiency, acceptance of the NA61 detector, smearing between neighboring bins, pion decays)

•Dedicated dE/dx only below 800 MeV/c:

•Fast change of energy loss with momentum.

• relativistic rise region in preparation.

# •Analysis based on combined ToF+dE/dx PID:

- π+ and π-
- •Request particles in ToF ->High purity PID over whole momentum range, but reduced acceptance.

8

**Positive particles** 

Energy loss (MIP)

- •Step by step MC corrections
- •See next slides





# •h minus analysis:

- •only for  $\pi$ -.
- pure tracking with no pid- large acceptance.
  consider all negative tracks and remove electrons and k- with a global Monte Carlo correction.



# C<sup>-1</sup> (p, $\theta$ ) for $\pi^+$



# Analysis based on combined ToF-dE/dx PID



Examples of 2D Fits in the mass-squared-dE/dx plane:
Fit with a sum of 4 Normalized 2D Gaussians (20 parameters):

SHINE NA61



•identified particles







The following effects are corrected for bin by bin:

- •geometrical acceptance of the detector.
- •Efficiency of the reconstruction chain.
- •Efficiency of the time of flight detector.
- •pions decaying before reaching the ToF.
- •pion lost to secondary hadronic interactions.
- •pions from weak decays reconstructed as a primary track

(important correction for  $\pi$ - from lambda decay).



## **Example of correction: geometrical acceptance**

Two track topologies called Right Side Tracks (RST) and Wrong Side Tracks (WST) populate different areas of the detector phase space. Bins with a lower than 40% acceptance are not considered to avoid sharp fluctuations on the detector side.





## **All corrections**

•Select only regions with high acceptance => low correction factors.

•Except for  $\pi$ - where the Feed down correction reaches ~40% in a few areas (because of lambdas)











Comparisons between preliminary results show good agreement except for a few momentum bins in the 60-120 mrad region (up to 20% difference). ->20% systematic error on the spectra.





# All results $\pi$ +



Comparisons between ToF+dE/dx and dE/dx only analysis. No overlap due to TOF acceptance. But results can be checked for continuity.





•Importance of long target measurements



#### ~90 % of both $v_{\mu}$ and $v_{e}$ fluxes at peak energy

•~40% of v flux is coming from secondary interactions (thin target alone not enough)

•~90 % of  $v_{\mu}$  and  $v_{e}$  fluxes at peak energy are coming from parents produced in the target: =>measure production from replica target directly.

•NA61 Required statistics to fulfill the T2K goals



•assuming 5 % uncertainty on hadroproduction measurements.

•need 7M triggers ->got 10 M triggers in 2010 (200 k in 2007).

# **T2K replica target analysis**



### • Dedicated reconstruction procedure:

- no vertex constraint
- tracks reconstructed in the TPCs are back-propagated to the target skin (closest approach)



#### • First PID results:

- track length computed from back-propagation to the middle of the target.
- first combined ToF-dEdx plots.
- same performance as with thin target



# **T2K replica target analysis**



•h<sup>-</sup> distributions for 5 longitudinal bins & target downstream face measured at target skin with correction procedures similar to the thin target h<sup>-</sup> analysis.







•First results on pi+ spectra in p+C interactions at 31 GeV/c in momentum range 1-10 GeV/c have been obtained using different analyses methods.

-working on identifying and reducing systematics Goal: <10% (NA49 managed ~ 4%).

-work also ongoing to extend analysis at higher momenta and definition of a new binning.

-Paper preparation on the 2007 data started.

•Those results are currently used and provide useful input for the T2K beam simulation.

• ~10 times larger set of data in 2009 currently in the calibration phase, which has yet to be analyzed.

•Data from long target is currently been analyzed ->crucial because an important part of the neutrino flux in

T2K comes from target re-interactions. PID capabilities similar to short target. Preliminary results soon!





Results

SH NA61





Sebastien Murphy University of Geneva