## **Neutrino Physics from ICAL:**

#### Current status

D. Indumathi

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai

#### **Outline of talk**

The context: physics of atmospheric neutrinos

#### **Outline of talk**

- The context: physics of atmospheric neutrinos
- Reach of INO/ICAL: "Standard physics" with a focus on three-flavour oscillations

#### **Outline of talk**

- The context: physics of atmospheric neutrinos
- Reach of INO/ICAL: "Standard physics" with a focus on three-flavour oscillations
- Mini-ICAL: Cosmic muon physics

## **Atmospheric Neutrinos**

Cosmic rays reach Earth's atmosphere and interact with C, O nuclei to give pions and kaons. These decay to muons and neutrinos.

 $\pi(K) \to \mu \, \nu_{\mu}; \quad \mu \to \nu_{\mu} \, e \, \nu_{e} \; .$ 



## **Atmospheric Neutrino Fluxes**



#### Rigidity at SK

 $\Phi_{\mu,e}(E_{\nu},\cos\theta)$ 

- Solution Rigidity latitude dependent; actually magnetic latitude; fluxes about 25% smaller at E < 1 GeV, and about 10% larger beyond, at Theni
- East-west asymmetry pronounced at low energies.
- Large contribution from up-going neutrinos.

Honda et al., Phys.Rev. D83 (2011) 123001, [arXiv:1102.2688]

Honda et al., Phys.Rev.D 92 (2015) 2, 023004, [arXiv:1502.03916]

#### **Parameters of the 3** $\nu$ **framework**

Solution The  $\nu_e$ ,  $\nu_\mu$  and  $\nu_\tau$  flavours do not have definite masses:

$$u_{lpha} = \sum_{i} U_{lpha i} 
u_{i} \; .$$

where  $\nu_1$ ,  $\nu_2$  and  $\nu_3$  have well-defined masses:  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  and  $m_3$ , some are non-zero.  $U(\theta_{12}, \theta_{13}, \theta_{23}, \delta_{CP})$  is the mixing matrix.

• The Earth matter effect mainly occurs in the  $\theta_{13}$  parameter:

(1) 
$$(\sin 2\theta_{13})_m = \frac{(\sin 2\theta_{13})}{\sqrt{[\cos 2\theta_{13} - (A/\Delta m_{32}^2)]^2 + (\sin 2\theta_{13})^2}}$$

where  $A = 7.6 \times 10^{-5} \ \rho \ E \ eV^2$ ;  $\Delta m_{32}^2 = m_3^2 - m_2^2$ ,

Here  $\rho$  = earth density (gms/cc); E = neutrino energy in GeV;  $A \rightarrow -A$  for anti-neutrinos.

Separation of neutrino and anti-neutrino events can probe the matter effect and hence the mass ordering.

## **A Schematic of Neutrino Properties**

Neutrino masses are not well-known. Oscillation studies only determine the mass-squared differences:  $\Delta m_{ij}^2 = m_i^2 - m_j^2$  and mixing angles  $\theta_{ij}$ .  $\Delta m_{21}^2 \sim 0.76 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^2$ ;  $|\Delta m^2_{32}| \sim 2.4 imes 10^{-3} \ {
m eV}^2$  ;  $m^2$  $m^2$  $v_e$  $\sum_{i} m_i < 0.7$ -2 eV.  $\nu_{\mu}$  $\theta_{12} \sim 34^{\circ};$  $v_{\tau}$  $\theta_{23} \sim 45^{\circ};$  $m_3^{2}$  $\int \text{solar} \approx 8 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2$  $\theta_{13} \sim 8.5^{\circ}$ . atmospheric Phase(s) unknown.  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-3} eV^2$ atmospheric •  $m_1 \sim m_2 \sim m_3 \sim 0.2 \; {\rm eV}$  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-3} eV^2$  $m_2^2$ solar~ $\overline{8 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2}$ (Degenerate hierarchy)  $m_1^2$  $-m_3^2$ •  $m_1 < m_2 \ll m_3$ (Normal hierarchy) ? ? •  $m_3 \ll m_1 < m_2$ 0 0 Inverted hierarchy

(Nu-Fit, JHEP 12 (2012) 123 [arXiv:1209.3023])

#### **Events from atmospheric neutrinos**

#### 

$$N_{\mu}^{CC} = \mathcal{N} \left[ P_{\mu\mu} \Phi_{\mu} + P_{e\mu} \Phi_{e} \right] \times \sigma_{\mu}^{CC} .$$
  

$$N_{e}^{CC} = \mathcal{N} \left[ P_{\mu e} \Phi_{\mu} + P_{ee} \Phi_{e} \right] \times \sigma_{e}^{CC} .$$
  

$$N_{\tau}^{CC} = \mathcal{N} \left[ P_{\mu\tau} \Phi_{\mu} + P_{e\tau} \Phi_{e} \right] \times \sigma_{\tau}^{CC} .$$

- Main focus on muon neutrino (v
  ) events, including "rock events"
- Hence detector sensitivity to muons/hadrons important
- P<sub>ij</sub> depend on both energy and path length traversed by neutrinos, apart from oscillation parameters.



R. Gandhi et al., PRL 94 (2005) 051801

Sensitivity to  $\Delta m^2_{\rm atm}$ 



Sensitivity is hierarchy dependent. Shown here for NO.

Solution Reduced sensitivity in anti-neutrino sector  $(A \rightarrow -A \equiv \theta_{13} = 0)$ 

D. Indumathi et al., Phys.Rev.D 74 (2006) 053004, arXiv:hep-ph/0603264]

## **Sensitivity to octant of** $\theta_{23}$



Only visible for non-zero  $\theta_{13}$ 

#### **Sensitivity to the CP phase**



For energies of interest in ICAL, there is no sensitivity to  $\delta_{CP}$ ; visible only at  $E \lesssim 1$  GeV.

## Sensitivity to Earth density profile



Core-crossing effect clearer at larger energies. May be statistics limited.

## **Characteristics of Atm.** $\nu$ **Detectors**

Atmospheric neutrinos have large L and E range. Some desirable features of atmospheric neutrino detectors are :

- Solution Nearly  $4\pi$  coverage in solid angle;
- Sensitivity to as low/high energies as possible; note that the most interesting region for observing matter effects in the 2–3 sector is 3–15 GeV;
- Sensitivity to direction, up/down discrimination; via timing ( $\sim 1$  ns)
- Desirable: Good charge resolution to distinguish neutrino and anti-neutrino events via separation of  $\mu^-$  and  $\mu^+$  events.
- Sensitivity to electrons
- Desirable: sensitivity to hadrons and tau (indirect).

## **The ICAL detector**

- So kton iron, magnetised to ~ 1.5 T with 150 layers of 5.6 cm plates in three modules ( $16 \times 16 \times 14.4 \text{ m}^3$ )
- Interleaved by active detectors called Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs)



# **Specifications of the ICAL detector**

ICAL				
No. of modules	3			
Module dimension	16 m $\times$ 16 m $\times$ 14.4 m			
Detector dimension	48 m $\times$ 16 m $\times$ 14.4 m			
No. of layers	150			
Iron plate thickness	5.6 cm			
Gap for RPC trays	4.0 cm			
Magnetic field	1.5 Tesla			
RPC				
RPC unit dimension	2 m × 2 m			
Readout strip width	3 cm			
No. of RPC units/Road/Layer	8			
No. of Roads/Layer/Module	8			
No. of RPC units/Layer	192			
No. of electronic readout channels	$\sim$ 30,000 $3.9 imes10^6$			

Completely indigenous. Needs large industry interface.

## The magnetic field



The magnetic field (magnitude and direction) at the centre of an iron plate in a single module of ICAL.

#### The detector resolutions: Muons

A. Chatterjee et al., JINST 9 (2014) P07001; arXiv: 1405.7243 [physics.ins-det]







Feb 19, 2021, INO Outlook, online, Chennai - p. 16/38

#### The reconstruction efficiencies: Muons



#### Hadron resolutions



M.M. Devi et al., JINST 8 (2013) P11003; arXiv: 1304.5115 [physics in szder al., JINST 8 (201

## The hierarchy reach of ICAL



- Hierarchy sensitivity with NO (left) and IO (right).
- $|\Delta m_{eff}^2|$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  marginalised over their  $3\sigma$  ranges.
- All results for 10 years running unless otherwise specified

M.M. Devi et al., 10.1007/JHEP10(2014)189, arXiv: 1406.3689

# **Hierarchy Dependence on** $\theta_{23}$



- Again, remaining parameters are marginalised over
- Sensitivity improves with  $\theta_{23}$

Lakshmi S Mohan et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 77 (2017) 1, 54, arXiv: 1605.04185 [hep-ph]

## **Sensitivity of ICAL to** $\theta_{23}$



Lakshmi S Mohan et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 77 (2017) 1, 54, arXiv: 1605.04185 [hep-ph]

Precision decreases by 4% when event by event reconstruction taken, rather than the resolution functions for muon energy and direction. This study was restricted to muon analysis alone, for 5 years data.

K. Rebin et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 79 (2019) 4, 295, arXiv: 1804.02138 [hep-ex]





- Using the resolutions and efficiencies determined earlier
- Precision decreases by 7% when event by event reconstruction taken, rather than the resolution functions for muon energy and direction. This study was restricted to muon analysis alone, for 5 years data.

K. Rebin et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 79 (2019) 4, 295, arXiv: 1804.02138 [hep-ex]

# **Additional Synergies**



Shakeel Ahmed et al., Pramana 88 (2017) 5, 79; arXiv: 1505.07380 [physics.ins-det]; S. K. Agarwalla et al., ref. ibid.

# L/E analysis of atmospheric neutrinos



- Solution MSW resonance at (-0.6, 6 GeV) clearly visible
- Solution length resonance at 3-4 GeV and  $\cos \theta < -0.8$  is also visible.
- Also note the "oscillation valley" in black.

## **Parameter extraction from dip**

Anil Kumar et al., arXiv: 2006.14529 [hep-ph]



## **Sensitivity to electrons?**

- NC, electron CC and muon CC (zero-track) all give "trackless" events.
- NC has no sensitivity to oscillation parameters; rest do
- Sensitivity to energy and direction of electrons



## **Cosmic muons and data from IICHEP**

- Cosmic ray "stack" of RPCs only; no magnetic field.
- Vertical cosmic muon flux determined at Madurai, IICHEP
- Azimuthal dependence also found, with modified electronics



## **Azimuthal dependence of cosmic muons**



Clear east-west asymmetry is seen, although the events are energy integrated

# **Comparison with models**

 $f(\phi) = P_0 [1 + A \sin(\phi_0 - \phi)]$ .



- Models based on GHEISHA are most deviated away from the data; HONDA best.
- Particle multiplicity does not agree with different hadronic models in CORSIKA
- S. Pethuraj et al., JCAP 09 (2017) 021, arXiv: 1706.00901 [physics.ins-det]
- S. Mondal et al., Exper.Astron. 51 (2021) 1, 17-32; arXiv: 1908.04589 [astro-ph.HE] Feb 19, 2021, INO Outlook, online, Chennai – p. 28/38

## **Mini-ICAL: Effect of magnetic field**



- Magnetic field enables separation of  $\mu^-$  and  $\mu^+$ .
- The spectrum matches reasonably with CORSIKA from 0.6 GeV
- The Kalman filter codes that were used in the simulations studies of ICAL are now being validated
- Looking forward to the larger engineering module.

Apoorva Dipak Bhatt, PhD Thesis, HBNI, Mumbai, 2019

#### **Additional Slides**

## **Current values of oscillation parameters**

- Current values of neutrino oscillation parameters from global fits to the data.
- Data include: Solar: Cl, Ga, SK, SNO, Borexino, Atm: IceCube, SK, KamLAND, Double-Chooz, Daya Bay, Reno, Acclr: MINOS, T2K, NovA.

Esteban et al., JHEP 09 (2020) 178, arXiv: 2007.14792 [hep-ph]

Parameter	True value	$3\sigma$ range
$ heta_{12}$	33.44°	[31.27–35.86]
$ heta_{23}$	49.2°	[40.1–51.7]
$\sin^2 heta_{23}$	0.573	[0.415–0.616]
$ heta_{13}$	8.57°	[8.2–8.93]
$\Delta m^2_{21}$	$7.42 \times 10^{-5} \ \mathrm{eV}^2$	[6.82–8.04]
$\Delta m^2_{31}$ (NO)	$2.517 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$	[2.435–2.598]
$-\Delta m^2_{31}$ (IO)	$2.498 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$	[2.581–2.414]
$\delta_{CP}$	<b>0</b> , 197°, ±180°	[120–369]

# **DUNE hierarchy reach**



DUNE 40 kton I-Ar TPC detector and a 1.1e21 POT beam;1.2–2.4 MW

- Solution Note:  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$  and shorter *L* so constant density approximation ok
- Seven years of data (3.5 plus 3.5 years in  $\nu/\overline{\nu}$  mode) in purple
- If MO is determined to  $\sqrt{(\Delta \chi^2)} = 5$  (\*) for nearly 100% of  $\delta_{CP}$  values
- $sin^{2} \theta_{23} \leq 0.57 \text{ for 10 years (marginally improves in 15 years)}$ B. Abi et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 80 (2020) 10, 978; arXiv: 2006.16043 [hep-ex]. \* The sqrt was missed in the original version

# **JUNO hierarchy reach**



- JUNO 20 kton I-scintillator + PMT anti-neutrino detector (reactors, power of 36 GW (th)) (26 GW available in 2021);  $L \sim 53$  km
- Solution: Used  $3\%/\sqrt{E}$  muon energy resolution; scale uncertainty less than 1%, and 73% reco efficiency
- Solution MO to 3–4  $\sigma$  with 6 years; solar and atm ( $\Delta m_{32}^2$  only) oscillation parameters to 1% or better; latter at 0.5%

W. Wu, PoS Nufact2019, 030, F. Perrot, J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 1586 (2020) 1, 012047

#### **Additional Material**

This was not covered in the talk due to lack of time, oversight, etc.

## **Testing for matter sensitivity at ICAL**



Difference between no. of muon events with matter vs. vacuum oscillations  $(\Delta N_i^{\mu})$  for  $\mu^-$  (left) and  $\mu^+$  (right). Top,bottom panels for  $\Delta_{31} > 0, < 0$ . J. Datta, M. Nizam, Ali Ajmi, S. Uma Sankar, Nucl.Phys.B 961 (2020) 115251, arXiv: 1907.08966 [hep-ph]

### **Rock muons**

- Atmospheric neutrinos can interact with rock surrounding detector. While the hadrons are absorbed, these "rock muons" can reach the detector.
- Significant energy loss of muons in rock; still carry oscillation signature
- Can probe muons from significantly higher energy source neutrinos; complementary to standard analysis, although lower sensitivity



Plot shows comparison to 4.5 years SuperK rock muon data (Y. Fukuda et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 2644, [arXiv:9812014v2]).
 Kanishka Rawat et al., PoS NUFACT2014 (2015) 127

# **Cosmic muon charge ratio at high energy**

Table 1: DATA TABLE FOR CHARGE RATIO ANALYSIS FROM VERTICAL MUONS.

Energy (TeV)	$R_{\mu+/\mu-}$ at ICAL	$R^{ m Surface}_{\mu+/\mu-}$ CORSIKA	$R^{ m Surface}_{\mu+/\mu-}$ PIKA
1.60-1.65	1.32±0.013	1.31	1.41
1.65-1.70	1.31 ±0.022	1.34	1.41
1.70-1.75	1.32±0.014	1.34	1.41
1.75-1.80	1.32±0.034	1.37	1.41
1.80-1.85	1.41±0.008	1.40	1.41
1.85-1.90	1.36±0.008	1.37	1.41
1.90-1.95	1.41±0.026	1.37	1.41
1.95-2.00	$1.32{\pm}0.030$	1.36	1.41
$\langle R_{\mu+/\mu-} \rangle$	1.35±0.019	1.36	1.41

J. Singh et al., Adv. High Energy Phys. 2019 (2019) 9585234, arXiv: 1709.01064 [physics.ins-det]

# High energy cosmic muons, cont'd

- Solution The cosmic muons that reach ICAL from the surface are reconstructed in momentum/direction and the *surface muon distribution* is determined from the inverse process: check for accuracy (compare original surface muon charge ratio  $R_{\mu}$  to reconstructed one)
- Check for sensitivity to the charge ratio, compare with current data

